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Abstract

In this paper we investigate sufficient conditions for many types of stability of both of the abstract first order linear dynamic equations on time scales of the form

\[ x^\Delta(t) + A(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \]

and the second order linear dynamic equations of the form

\[ x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + A(t)x^\Delta(t) + R(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \]

Where \( A, R : \mathbb{T} \to L(\mathbb{X}) \), the space of all bounded linear operators from a Banach space \( \mathbb{X} \) into itself, and \( f \) is rd–continuous from a time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) to \( \mathbb{X} \). Some given illustrative examples show the applicability of the main results.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26E70, 34N05, 34K20, 39A30.
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1. Preliminaries and introduction

The objective of the theory of dynamic equations on time scales is to unify continuous and discrete calculus [3, 15] which was introduced by Stefan Hilger [14]. For more details about this theory, we refer the reader to the very interesting monographs [4] and [5]. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in studying the asymptotic behavior of solutions of dynamic equations on time scales due to its applications in many fields especially in biology, economics. In [10] DUC, Ilchmann, Siegmund and Taraba derived sufficient conditions for stability and asymptotic stability of linear time varying second order scalar differential equations of the form:

\[ \dot{x} + a_1(t)\dot{x} + a_0(t)x = 0. \]

Drozdowicz and Popenda, in [9], investigated the asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order difference equations. We refer the reader to the monograph [11].

In [12], Hamza and Oraby studied many types of stability of solutions of the first order linear dynamic equation of the form:
\[
\begin{align*}
    \{u^\Delta = Au(t), & \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad t > 0, \\
    u(0) = x & \in \mathbb{X},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A \) is the generator of a \( C_0 \) – semigroup \( \{T(t) : t \in \mathbb{T}\} \); the space of all bounded linear operators from a Banach space \( \mathbb{X} \) into itself. Here \( \mathbb{T} \in \{\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}\} \). For related results, see [1, 6–10, 17].

In this paper, we obtain some new results concerning with many types of stability like (exponential stability, uniform exponential stability, \( h \)–stability and uniform \( h \)–stability) of abstract first order linear dynamic equations of the form:

\[
x^\Delta(t) + A(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

We use these results to establish sufficient conditions for the stability of the abstract second order dynamic equations of the form

\[
x^{\Delta^2}(t) + A(t)x^\Delta(t) + R(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T},
\]

where \( A, R : \mathbb{T} \to L(\mathbb{X}) \), and \( f \) is rd–continuous from a time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) to a Banach space \( \mathbb{X} \). Finally, we give some illustrative examples to show the applicability of the theoretical results.

Now we exhibit the concepts of stability, uniform stability, exponential stability, uniform exponential stability, \( h \)–stability and uniform \( h \)–stability, of the general dynamic equations of the form

\[
x^{\Delta^n}(t) = F\left(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t), \ldots, x^{\Delta^{n-1}}(t)\right), \quad t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (1.1)
\]

where \( F : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{X}^n \to \mathbb{X} \) is rd–continuous in \( t \) with \( F(t, 0, \ldots, 0) = 0; \quad t \in \mathbb{T} \). These concepts include the boundedness of solutions. See [2, 16, 18]. We denote by \( x(t) = x(t, \tau, x^0_\tau, \ldots, x^{n-1}_\tau) \) for the solution corresponding to the initial values \( x^{\Delta^i}(\tau) = x^i_\tau \in \mathbb{X}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n-1 \) and we denote by \( X(\tau) = (x^0_\tau, \ldots, x^{n-1}_\tau) \in \mathbb{X}^n \) for the \( n \)-tuple composed of the initial values. We call \( X(\tau) \) is an initial state. Assume that \( \mathbb{X} \) is endowed with a norm \( \| \cdot \| \) and \( \mathbb{X}^n \) is the Banach space endowed with the norm \( \|x_1, \ldots, x_n\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \).

**Definition 1.1.** Eq.(1.1) is called stable if for every \( \tau \in \mathbb{T} \) and for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta = \delta(\epsilon, \tau) > 0 \) such that for any two solutions \( x(t, \tau, x^0_\tau, \ldots, x^{n-1}_\tau) \) and \( \bar{x}(t, \tau, \bar{x}^0_\tau, \ldots, \bar{x}^{n-1}_\tau) \) of Eq.(1.1), corresponding to the initial states \( X(\tau) = (x^0_\tau, \ldots, x^{n-1}_\tau) \) and \( \bar{X}(\tau) = (\bar{x}^0_\tau, \ldots, \bar{x}^{n-1}_\tau) \) respectively, we have

\[
    \|X(t) - \bar{X}(t)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

**Definition 1.2.** Eq.(1.1) is called uniformly stable if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \) such that for every \( \tau \in \mathbb{T} \) and for any two solutions \( x(t, \tau, x^0_\tau, \ldots, x^{n-1}_\tau) \) and \( \bar{x}(t, \tau, \bar{x}^0_\tau, \ldots, \bar{x}^{n-1}_\tau) \) of Eq.(1.1), corresponding to the initial states \( X(\tau) \) and \( \bar{X}(\tau) \), we have

\[
    \|X(t) - \bar{X}(t)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)\| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]
**Definition 1.3.** Eq.(1.1) is called exponentially stable if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ with $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^+$ such that for every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$, there is $\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1})$ such that, any solution $x(t) = x(t, \tau, x_0^0, ..., x_0^{n-1})$ corresponding to the initial state $X(\tau)$ of Eq.(1.1), satisfies

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \gamma(\tau, \|X(\tau)\|) e_{-\alpha}(t, \tau), t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Here $\mathcal{R}^+$ is the family of all positively regressive functions [4].

**Definition 1.4.** Eq.(1.1) is called uniformly exponentially stable if $\gamma$ is independent on $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$.

**Definition 1.5.** Let $h : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive bounded function. We say that Eq.(1.1) is called $h$-stable if there exists $\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1})$ such that for any solution $x(t, \tau, x_0^0, ..., x_0^{n-1})$ corresponding to the initial state $X(\tau)$ of Eq.(1.1), we have

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \gamma(\tau, \|X(\tau)\|) h(t) h(\tau)^{-1}, t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

(Here $h(\tau)^{-1} = \frac{1}{h(\tau)}$).

**Definition 1.6.** Eq.(1.1) is called uniformly $h$-stable if $\gamma$ is independent on $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$.

The initial value problem

$$CP(0): \quad x^\Delta(t) = A(t) x(t), \quad x(\tau) = x_0^0 \in \mathbb{X}, t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T},$$

has the unique solution

$$x(t) = e_A(t, \tau)x_0^0, \quad (1.2)$$

And the initial value problem

$$CP(f): \quad x^\Delta(t) = A(t) x(t) + f(t), \quad x(\tau) = x_0^0 \in \mathbb{X}, t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T},$$

Has the unique solution

$$x(t) = e_A(t, \tau)x_0^0 + \int_\tau^t e_A(t, \sigma(s)) f(s) \Delta s, \quad (1.3)$$

where $A \in C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T}, L(\mathbb{X}))$ and $f \in C_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X})$. The formula (1.3) is called the variation of parameters formula. Here, $e_A(t, \tau)$ is the exponential abstract operator function. For properties of $e_A(t, s), t \geq s, t, s \in \mathbb{T}$ see [13]. For instance, it satisfies $e_A(t, s) + e_A(s, \tau) = e_A(t, \tau), t, s, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ which is called the semigroup property and $e_A(t, t) \equiv I$. By linearity of $CP(0)$, we get the equivalence between the stability of $CP(0)$ and the stability of $CP(f)$. We introduce the notions of many types of stability of the family $\{e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau\}$.

**Definition 1.7.** We say that family $\{e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau\}$ is

i. stable if there is $\gamma(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma(\tau), t \geq \tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$
ii. uniformly stable if there is a positive constant number $\gamma$ such that
$$
\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma, \ t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}.
$$

iii. exponentially stable if there are $\alpha > 0$ with $-\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that
$$
\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)e_{-\alpha}(t, \tau), \ t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}.
$$
In this case, it is called exponentially stable of type $\alpha, \gamma(\tau)$.

iv. uniformly exponentially stable if there are $\alpha > 0$ with $-\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \geq 1$ such that
$$
\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)e_{-\alpha}(t, \tau), \ t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}.
$$
In this case, it is called uniformly exponentially stable of type $\alpha, \gamma$.

v. $h$–stable if there is $\gamma \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1})$ such that
$$
\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}, \ t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}.
$$
In this case, it is called $h$–stable of type $\gamma(\tau)$.

vi. uniformly $h$–stable if there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1}$ such that
$$
\|e_A(t, \tau)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}, \ t \geq \tau, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}.
$$
In this case, it is called uniformly $h$–stable of type $\gamma$.

We need the following two results from [1] concerning the stability of $CP(0)$ and $CP(f)$.

**Theorem 1.8.** The following conditions are equivalent

i. $CP(0)$ is stable.

ii. $CP(f)$ is stable.

iii. $\{e_A(t, \tau): t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau\}$ is stable.

**Theorem 1.9.** The following conditions are equivalent

i. $CP(0)$ is uniformly stable.

ii. $CP(f)$ is uniformly stable.

iii. $\{e_A(t, \tau): t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau\}$ is uniformly stable.

## 2. Exponential stability ($h$–stability) of $CP(f)$

This section is devoted to studying the exponential stability ($h$–stability) of the nonhomogeneous abstract Cauchy problem $CP(f)$ in terms of the exponential stability...
(h–stability) of the family \( \{ e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau \} \).

**Theorem 2.1.** If the following conditions

i. \( \{ e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau \} \) is exponential stable, with type \( \alpha, \gamma(\tau) \),

ii. there exists \( \beta = \beta(\tau) \geq 0 \) such that \( \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{\| f(s) \| \gamma(\sigma(s))}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha} e^{-\alpha (\tau, s)} \Delta s \leq \beta, t, \tau \in \mathbb{T} \),

are satisfied, then \( CP(f) \) is exponentially stable and every solution \( x \) with initial value \( x_0^0 \) satisfies the following inequality

\[
\| x(t) \| \leq (\gamma(\tau) \| x_0^0 \| + \beta)e^{-\alpha (t, \tau)}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( x(t) \) be a solution of \( CP(f) \) with initial value \( x_0^0 \). Then using formula (1.3), we obtain

\[
\| x(t) \| \leq \gamma e^{-\alpha (t, \tau)} \| x_0^0 \| + \int_{\tau}^{t} \| f(s) \| \gamma(\sigma(s)) e^{-\alpha (t, \sigma(s))} \Delta s
\]

\[
\leq (\gamma \| x_0^0 \| + \beta)e^{-\alpha (t, \tau)}.
\]

There, \( CP(f) \) is exponentially stable.

**Theorem 2.2.** If the following conditions

i. \( \{ e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau \} \) is uniformly exponential stable, with type \( \alpha, \gamma \),

ii. there exists \( \beta \geq 0 \) independent of \( \tau \) such that \( \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{\| f(s) \| \gamma(\sigma(s))}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha} e^{-\alpha (\tau, s)} \Delta s \leq \beta, t, \tau \in \mathbb{T} \),

are satisfied, then \( CP(f) \) is uniformly exponentially stable.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and will be omitted.

**Theorem 2.3.** If the following conditions

i. \( \{ e_A(t, \tau) : t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau \} \) is h –stable, with type \( \gamma \),

ii. there exists \( \beta = \beta(\tau) \geq 0 \) such that \( \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{\| f(s) \| \gamma(\sigma(s))}{h(\sigma(s))h(\tau)^{-1}} \Delta s \leq \beta, t, \tau \in \mathbb{T} \),

are satisfied, then \( CP(f) \) is h –stable and every solution \( x \) with initial value \( x_0^0 \) satisfies the following inequality

\[
\| x(t) \| \leq (\gamma(\tau) \| x_0^0 \| + \beta)h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( x(t) \) be a solution of \( CP(f) \) with initial value \( x_0^0 \). Then it satisfies

\[
\| x(t) \| \leq \gamma \| x_0^0 \| h(t)h(\tau)^{-1} + \beta h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}
\]
\[ \leq (\gamma \|x^0\| + \beta) h(t) h(\tau)^{-1} \forall t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}. \]

Therefore, \( CP(f) \) is \( h \)-stable.

**Theorem 2.4.** If the following conditions

i. \( \{e_A(t, \tau): t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau \} \) is uniformly \( h \)-stable, with type \( \gamma \),

ii. there exists \( \beta \geq 0 \) (independent of \( \tau \)) such that \( \int^t_\tau \frac{\|f(s)\|}{h(\sigma(s)) h(\tau)^{-1}} \Delta s \leq \beta \),

are satisfied, then \( CP(f) \) is uniformly \( h \)-stable.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 and will be omitted.

Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 yield Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 respectively, by putting \( h(t) h(\tau)^{-1} = e^{-a(t, \tau)} \).

### 3. Stability of second order linear dynamic equations on time scales

In this section we establish many types of stability of the second order non-homogeneous dynamic equations of the form

\[ x^{\Delta \Delta}(t) + A(t)x^{\Delta}(t) + R(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t > \tau, \ t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (3.1) \]

with initial conditions \( x^{\Delta i}(\tau) = x^i_i \in \mathbb{X}, i = 0, 1 \) where \( A, R: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow L(\mathbb{X}) \) and \( f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{X}) \). Let \( z: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow L(\mathbb{X}) \) be a particular solution of the corresponding Riccati equation

\[ z^{\Delta}(t) + (A(t) - z^\sigma(t))z(t) = R(t), \ t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (3.2) \]

Assume that \( D = z^\sigma - A \), -\( z \) are regressive and \( D \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, L(\mathbb{X})) \).

We need the following lemma. Its proof is straightforward and will be omitted.

**Lemma 3.1.** If \( x \) is a solution of Eq.(3.1), then \( g(t) = x^{\Delta}(t) + z(t)x(t) \) is a solution of

\[ g^{\Delta} - Dg - f = 0 \quad (3.3) \]

**Theorem 3.2.** If the functions \( \| e_D(t, \tau) \|, \| e_{-z}(t, \tau) \| \) and \( \int^t_\tau \|e_{-z}(t, \sigma(s))\| \Delta s \) are bounded for every \( \tau \in \mathbb{T} \), then Eq.(3.1) is stable.

**Proof.** We denote by \( K = \sup_{t \geq \tau} \int^t_\tau \|e_{-z}(t, \sigma(s))\| \Delta s \), \( L = \sup_{t \geq \tau} \|e_{-z}(t, \tau)\| \) and \( M = \sup_{t \geq \tau} \|e_D(t, \tau)\| \). The equation \( g^{\Delta} - Dg - f = 0 \) is stable by Theorem 1.8, since \( \{e_D(t, \tau): t \geq \tau \} \) is stable. Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). There is \( \delta_1(\epsilon, \tau) > 0 \) such that for any two solutions \( g(t) = g(t, \tau, g_\tau) \) and \( \tilde{g}(t) = \tilde{g}(t, \tau, \tilde{g}_\tau) \) with initial values \( g_\tau \) and \( \tilde{g}_\tau \), respectively, we have

\[ \| g_\tau - \tilde{g}_\tau \| < \delta_1 \Rightarrow \| g(t) - \tilde{g}(t) \| < \frac{\epsilon}{2K} \]

Choose \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[ \delta \leq \min \left( \frac{\delta_1}{\max(\|z(\tau)\|, 1)}, \frac{\epsilon}{2L} \right) \]
Let $x(t) = x(t, \tau, x^0, x^1)$ and $\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}(t, \tau, x^0, \bar{x}^1)$ be two solutions with initial states $X(\tau) = (x^0, x^1)$ and $\bar{X}(\tau) = (\bar{x}^0, \bar{x}^1)$ such that

$$\| X(\tau) - \bar{X}(\tau) \| < \delta$$

Hence, $g(t) = x^\Delta(t) + z(t)x(t)$ and $\bar{g}(t) = \bar{x}^\Delta(t) + z(t)\bar{x}(t)$ are solutions of Eq.(3.3) corresponding to the initial conditions

$$g_\tau = x^\Delta(\tau) + z(\tau)x(\tau) \text{ and } \bar{g}_\tau = \bar{x}^\Delta(\tau) + z(\tau)\bar{x}(\tau); \text{ respectively.}$$

We see that $\| g_\tau - \bar{g}_\tau \| < \delta_1$. Consequently, $\| g(t) - \bar{g}(t) \| < \frac{\epsilon}{2K}, \forall t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}$. The solutions $x(t)$ and $\bar{x}(t)$ of Eq.(3.1) are given by

$$x(t) = e^{-z}(t, \tau)x^0 + \int_\tau^t e^{-z}(t, s)g(s)\Delta s$$

and

$$\bar{x}(t) = e^{-z}(t, \tau)\bar{x}^0 + \int_\tau^t e^{-z}(t, s)\bar{g}(s)\Delta s$$

This implies that $\| x(t) - \bar{x}(t) \| < \epsilon$. Therefore, Eq.(3.1) is stable.

**Theorem 3.3.** If the function $\| z(t) \|$ is bounded and the functions $\| e_D(t, \tau) \|, \| e_{-z}(t, \tau) \|$ and $\int_\tau^t \| e_{-z}(t, s) \| \Delta s$ are uniformly bounded with respect to $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then Eq.(3.1) is uniformly stable.

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 and will be omitted.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that the following conditions

i. There exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$, and there is $\gamma \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1})$ such that $\{ e_D(t, \tau) : t \geq \tau \}$ (resp. $\{ e_{-z}(t, \tau) : t \geq \tau \}$) is stable, with type $\alpha$ and $\gamma(\tau)$ (resp. with type $\alpha_1$ and $\gamma(\tau)$),

ii. There are constants $\beta > 0$ and $l \geq 0$, dependent on $\tau$, such that

$$\int_\tau^t \| f(s) \| \gamma(s) e_{-z}(\tau, s) \Delta s \leq \beta, \ t \geq \tau$$

and

$$\int_\tau^t \gamma(s) e_{-a}(s, \tau) \Delta s \leq l, \ t \geq \tau,$$

hold. Then Eq.(3.1) is exponentially stable.

**Proof.** The equation $g^\Delta - Dg - f = 0$ is exponentially stable, by Theorem 2.1, and any solution $g(t) = g(t, \tau, g_\tau)$ with initial value $g_\tau$, satisfies

$$\| g(t) \| \leq (\gamma(\tau)\| g_\tau \| + \beta(\tau)) e^{-\alpha}(t, \tau) \ \forall t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}$$

Set

$$\gamma(\tau, \tau) = \gamma(\tau)\tau + \beta(\tau)$$

This gives

$$\| g(t) \| \leq \gamma_1(\tau, \| g_\tau \|) e_{-a}(t, \tau) \ \forall t \geq \tau, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$
Let $x(t)$ be a solution of Eq.(3.1) with initial value $X(\tau) = (x_\tau^0, x_\tau^1)$. Then $g(t) = x^\Delta(t)$ and $z(t)x(t)$ is a solution of Eq.(3.3) with initial value $g(\tau) = x_\tau^1 + z(\tau)x_\tau^0$. The solution $x(t)$ is given by
\[
x(t) = e^{-z(t)}e_\tau^0 + \int_\tau^t e^{-z(t)}g(s)\Delta s.
\]
Hence
\[
\|x(t)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)\|X(\tau)\| e^{-\alpha_1(t, \tau)} + \int_\tau^t \gamma(\sigma(s))e^{-\alpha_1(t, \sigma(s))}\|g(\sigma(s))\|e^{-\alpha_1(s, \tau)}\Delta s
\]
\[
\leq [\gamma(\tau)\|X(\tau)\| + \gamma_2(\tau, \|X(\tau)\|) l] e^{-\alpha_1(t, \tau)}, \forall t \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau,
\]
where $\gamma_2(\tau, r) = \gamma(\tau) \max(\|z(\tau)\|, 1) r + \beta(\tau)$. Therefore, Eq. (3.1) is exponentially stable.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume that the following conditions

i. There exist constants $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$, such that \{e_\Delta (t, \tau): t \geq \tau\} (resp. \{e_{-\alpha} (t, \tau): t \geq \tau\}) is stable, with type $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ (resp. with type $\alpha_1$ and $\gamma$).

ii. There are constants $\beta > 0$ and $l > 0$, independent on $\tau$, such that
\[
\int_\tau^t \frac{\|f(s)\|}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha} e^{-\alpha_1(t, s)}\Delta s \leq \beta, \ t \geq \tau
\]
and
\[
\int_\tau^t \frac{\gamma(\sigma(s))}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha_1} \frac{e^{-\alpha_1(s, \tau)}}{e^{-\alpha_1(s, \tau)}}\Delta s \leq l, \ t \geq \tau, \tau \in \mathbb{T}
\]
are satisfied. Then Eq. (3.1) is uniformly exponentially stable.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 and will be omitted.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $h$ and $h_1$ be positive bounded functions on $\mathbb{T}$. Assume that the following conditions

i. There exist $\gamma \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^{\geq 1})$ such that \{e_\Delta (t, \tau): t \geq \tau\} is $h$-stable with type $\gamma(\tau)$ and \{e_{-\alpha} (t, \tau): t \geq \tau\} is $h_1$-stable with same type $\gamma(\tau)$.

ii. There are constants $\beta > 0$ and $l > 0$, dependent on $\tau$, such that
\[
\int_\tau^t \frac{\|f(s)\|\gamma(\sigma(s))}{h(\sigma(s))h(\tau)}\Delta s \leq \beta, \ t \geq \tau \text{ and } \int_\tau^t \frac{\gamma(\sigma(s))}{h_1(\sigma(s))h_1(\tau)}h(\sigma(s))h(\tau)^{-1}\Delta s \leq l
\]
are satisfied. Then Eq. (3.1) is $h$-stable.
Proof. The equation $g^A - Dg - f = 0$ is $h$-stable, by Theorem 2.3, and any solution $g(t) = g(t, \tau, g_{\tau})$ with initial value $g_{\tau}$, satisfies

$$\|g(t)\| \leq (\gamma(\tau) \|g_{\tau}\| + \beta)h(t)h(\tau)^{-1} \quad \forall \tau \geq t, t \in \mathbb{T}$$

Set

$$\gamma_1(\tau, r) = \gamma(\tau) r + \beta$$

This gives

$$\|g(t)\| \leq \gamma_1(\tau, \|g_{\tau}\|)h(t)h(\tau)^{-1} \forall \tau \geq t, t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Let $x(t)$ be a solution of Eq.(3.1) with initial value $X(\tau) = (x_{\tau}^0, x_{\tau}^1)$. Then $g(t) = x^A(t) + z(t)x(t)$ is a solution of Eq.(3.3) with initial value $g(\tau) = x_{\tau}^1 + z(\tau)x_{\tau}^0$. The solution $x(t)$ is given by

$$x(t) = e_{-z}(t, \tau)x_{\tau}^0 + \int_{\tau}^{t} e_{-z}(t, \sigma(s))g(s)\Delta s.$$ 

Hence

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \gamma(\tau)\|X(\tau)\| \cdot h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}$$

$$+ \gamma_1(\tau, \|g_{\tau}\|)h_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{\gamma(\sigma(s))h(s)}{h_1(\sigma(s))} h(\tau)^{-1}$$

$$\leq \gamma(\tau)\|X(\tau)\| + \gamma_1(\tau, \|g_{\tau}\|) \cdot l \cdot h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}$$

$$\leq \gamma(\tau)\|X(\tau)\| + \gamma_2(\tau, \|X(\tau)\|) \cdot l \cdot h(t)h(\tau)^{-1}, \forall \tau \geq t, t \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $\gamma_2(\tau, r) = \gamma(\tau) \cdot \max(\|z(\tau)\|, 1)r + \beta(\tau)$. Therefore, Eq. (3.1) is $h$-stable.

Theorem 3.7. Let $h$ and $h_1$ be positive bounded functions on $\mathbb{T}$. Assume that the following conditions

i. There exist $\gamma \geq 1$ such that $\{e^b(t, \tau) : t \geq \tau \}$ is uniformly $h$-stable with type $\gamma$ and $\{e_{-z}(t, \tau) : t \geq \tau \}$ is uniformly $h_1$-stable with same type $\gamma$.

ii. There are constants $\beta > 0$ and $l > 0$, independent on $\tau$, such that

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{\|f(s)\|}{h(\sigma(s))h(\tau)^{-1}} \Delta s \leq \beta, \quad t \geq \tau$$

and

$$\int_{\tau}^{t} \frac{h(\sigma(s))h(\tau)^{-1}}{h_1(\sigma(s))h_1(\tau)^{-1}} \Delta s \leq l$$

are satisfied. Then Eq.(3.1) is uniformly $h$-stable.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 and will be omitted.

Theorem 3.6 and 3.7 yield Theorem 3.4 and 3.5 respectively, by putting $h(t)h(\tau)^{-1} = e_{-a}(t, \tau)$.

4. Illustrative examples
The following examples show the applicability of the main results. In all examples $\mathbb{X}$ denotes a Banach space endowed with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $I$ denotes the identity operator on $\mathbb{X}$.

**Example 4.1.**

Consider the following dynamic equation
\[ x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + A(t)x^{\Delta}(t) + R(t)x(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad (4.1) \]
where $A(t) = 2t I$ and $R(t) = (1 + t^2) I$. The corresponding Riccati equation is
\[ z^{\Delta}(t) - (z^{\sigma}(t) - A(t))z(t) = R(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (4.2) \]
One can see that $z(t) = t I$ is a solution of Eq.(4.2). We have,
\[ D(t) = z^{\sigma}(t) - A(t) = -t I = -z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \]
We have
\[ e_D(t, \tau) = e^{-\frac{t^2 - \tau^2}{2}} I, \]
see for instance [1], [13], and consequently,
\[ \| e_D(t, \tau) \| = \| e_{-z}(t, \tau) \| = e^{-\frac{t^2 - \tau^2}{2}}. \]
Since $\| e_D(t, \tau) \|$, $\| e_{-z}(t, \tau) \|$ and $\int_{\tau}^{t} \| e_{-z}(t, \sigma(s)) \| ds$ are uniformly bounded with respect to $\tau$, then by Theorem 3.2, Eq.(4.1) is stable.

In this example, if we take $\mathbb{T}$ is any closed bounded interval, then $\| z(t) \|$ is bounded on this interval. Consequently, by Theorem 3.3, Eq.(4.1) is uniformly stable.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the following dynamic equation
\[ x^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + A(t)x^{\Delta}(t) + R(t)x(t) = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad (4.3) \]
Where $A(t)$, $R(t)$ and $f(t)$ defined by
\[ A(t) = 2m I, \quad R(t) = m^2 I \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) = e^{-2mt} a, \]
where $m > 0, a \in \mathbb{X}$. The corresponding Riccati equation is
\[ z^{\Delta}(t) - (z^{\sigma}(t) - A(t))z(t) = R(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (4.4) \]
One can see that $z(t) = m I$ is a solution of Eq.(4.4). We note that
\[ D(t) = z^{\sigma}(t) - A(t) = -m I = -z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \]
and $\| e_D(t, \tau) \| = \| e_{-z}(t, \tau) \| = e^{-m(t, \tau)}$. Choosing $\alpha = \alpha_1 = m$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, simple calculations show that
\[ \int_\tau^t \left\| f(s) \right\| \gamma(\sigma(s)) \frac{e^{-\alpha(s,t)}}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha} e^{-\alpha(t,s)} ds = \int_\tau^t e^{-2ms} e^{-m(t-s)} ds < \frac{1}{m}, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau, \]

and
\[ \int_\tau^t \gamma(\sigma(s)) \frac{e^{-\alpha(s,t)}}{1 - \mu(s)\alpha_{11} e^{-\alpha(t,s)}} ds = \int_\tau^t e^{-2m(s-t)} ds < \frac{1}{2m}, \quad t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, t \geq \tau, \]

Therefore, Eq.(4.3) is uniformly exponentially stable.

**Example 4.3.** Consider the following dynamic equation
\[ x^\Delta(t) = A(t)x(t), \; t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}, \]

where \( A(t) = -ml \) such that \( m > 0 \). We note that \( \|e_A(t,\sigma)\| = e^{-m(t,\sigma)} \). Choosing \( \alpha = m \) and \( \gamma = 1 \) in Theorem 3.3 conditions will be realized. Therefore, Eq.(4.5) is uniformly exponentially stable.
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الملخص باللغة العربية

اسم الطالب: دينا احمد محمد ابراهيم

عنوان البحث: أنواع عديدة من الاستقرارية لمعادلات الديناميكية من الدرجة الأولى و الثانية على مقاييس الزمن

قمنا في هذه الرسالة باستخدام دالة ليابونوف مناسبة و وضعنا الشروط الكافية للاستقرارية المنتظمة والاستقرارية الأسابيعية و الاستقرارية الأسابيعية المنتظمة والاستقرارية من النوع (h) و الاستقرارية من النوع (h) للعلاقة الديناميكية من الدرجة الأولى على مقاييس الزمن T ذات الصيغة

و معادلة الديناميكية من الدرجة الثانية على مقاييس الزمن T ذات الصيغة

حيث أن L(X) هو فضاء المؤثرات الخطية المحدود من الفضاء يانخ للمفس. و أيضا في نهاية البحث تم عمل تطبيقات للنظريات التي حصلنا عليها.
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