Energy staggering in superdeformed bands for isodiaphere nuclei in A ~ 40-60 mass region
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Abstract

The properties of superdeformed (SD) bands of isodiaphere nuclei ($^{40}$Ca, $^{58}$Cu, $^{60}$Zn) have been studied in the scope of four and five parameters’ formulas of the Bohr-Mottelson collective model. The unknown parameters are obtained by fitting the experimental measurements of the gamma-ray transition energies using MATLAB software. The rotational frequency $\hbar \omega$, the kinematic $J^{(1)}$, and dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia are determined by using the best fitted parameters. The obtained $\gamma$-ray transition energies $E_{\gamma}$ are in good agreement with the experimental measurements. Also, the theoretical results of the kinematic $J^{(1)}$, and dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia using both formulas agree well with the experimental data. The staggering $\Delta I = 2$ phenomenon is represented by using various order derivatives of $\gamma$-ray transition energies $E_{\gamma}$ with respect to spin angular momentum $I$. We conclude that the five parameters formula of Bohr-Mottelson collective model successes in interpreting the appeared pairing correlations in the experimental measurements for the studied nuclei.
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1. Introduction

One of the most interesting topics in nuclear structure physics is the discovery of superdeformed (SD) bands in traditional mass regions such as $A \sim 190$ [1,2], 150 [3], 130 [4, 5], 80, [6 - 11] and 60 [12 - 14]. Additionally, SD bands were discovered in medium-light nuclei $A < 60$ like $^{56}$Ni [15], $^{36}$Ar [16,17] and $^{40}$Ca [18]. The levels of double magic nucleus $^{40}$Ca were described as a mixture of spherical shell model and rotational model [19, 20]. Also, Svensson et al. observed the SD bands in $^{60}$Zn nucleus [13].
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The behavior of dynamic moment of inertia $J^{(2)}$ is being used as a tool to distinguish between the SD bands in various mass regions [21]. In region A~150, the characteristic of intruder orbital configuration was demonstrated by different behavior of $J^{(2)}$ from the one in A ~190 region where $J^{(2)}$ increased with the increase in the rotational frequency $\hbar \omega$ [22]. The unknown bandhead spin angular momentum is the main problem in SD bands till now. Various theoretical models have proposed to guess the spin assignments of SD bands [22 - 33]. Also, the excitation energies levels were unmeasured to some SD bands [22]. Furthermore, lower mass A region has an importance than the higher one because of the finite number of particles [34 - 38] and higher rotational frequency $\hbar \omega(\mathcal{I})$ [4]. Afanasjev et al. [39] studied the highly deformed bands in $^{58}Cu$ and also the SD bands in $^{60}Zn$ and $^{62}Zn$ in the framework of Cranked relativistic mean field theory which compared with the results obtained for SD bands in $^{60}Zn$ and $^{61}Zn$ in Ref. [14].

The perturbation of the energy levels behavior clearly demonstrated in some SD bands with the increase in the spin angular momentum. This perturbation was known as staggering effects in the transition energies $\Delta \mathcal{I} = 2$ [40]. The staggering $\Delta \mathcal{I} = 2$ phenomenon was investigated in various Refs. [41 - 49]. Sharma et al. applied the nuclear softness formula to deduce the bandhead spin of different SD bands in the mass region A ~ 60 - 80 and to calculate the transition energies [27]. Khalaf et al. studied the properties of SD bands of mercury nuclei by using Bohr-Mottelson collective model with four unknown parameters [50]. Vidya Devi et al. used the variable moment of inertia (VMI) model to study the properties of superdeformed bands in A < 100 mass region [51]. At high spins, superdeformed rotational bands (SDRBs) are now considered as one of the most important principles in nuclear structure [52]. Many models can used to study the properties of nuclei [50,51,53]. Here, we study the properties of various isodiaphere nuclei - the nuclei in which the difference between the number of neutrons $N_n$ and the number of protons $N_p$ is the same - ($^{40}Ca, ^{58}Cu, ^{60}Zn$) by using the Bohr-Mottelson collective model with four and five unknown parameters. Furthermore, we aim to interpret the appeared pairing correlations in the experimental measurements for the studied nuclei.
The paper is as follows. The Bohr-Mottelson collective model with four and five unknown parameters is extended in Sec. 2.1. Also, the rotational frequency, kinematic and dynamic moments of inertia are deduced in Sec. 2.1. Section 2.2 discusses the staggering $\Delta I = 2$ phenomenon. Section 3 is devoted to the results and discussion. Finally, the conclusions are elaborated in Sec. 4.

2. Theoretical Approach

2.1. Superdeformed Rotational Band's Model (SDRB)

The rotational energy $E(I)$ of state can be calculated as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ of an axially symmetric deformed nucleus by using Bohr-Mottelson collective rotational model [54] with finite number of unknown parameters,

$$E(I) = X_1[I(I + 1)] + X_2[I(I + 1)]^2 + X_3[I(I + 1)]^3 + \cdots + X_i[I(I + 1)]^i,$$

(1)

this can be reduced to

$$E(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i[I(I + 1)]^i,$$

(2)

where $X_i$ are the unknown parameters, as $i = 1,2,3,\ldots,k$ and $X_1 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2J_0}$, where $J_0$ is called the bandhead moment of inertia.

The gamma-ray transition energy in a band $E_\gamma(I)$ is defined as a difference between two sequence rotational energy states which is given as,

$$E_\gamma(I) = E(I) - E(I - 2),$$

(3)

where $E(I - 2)$ reads

$$E(I - 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i[(I - 1)(I - 2)]^i.$$ 

(4)

The gamma-ray transition energy in a band using SDRB with four unknown parameters can be deduced by substituting from Eqs.(2, 4) into Eq.(3) where $(k = 4)$ as
\[ E_\gamma(I) = X_1[4I - 2] + X_2[8I^2 - 12I^2 + 12I - 4] \]
\[ + X_3[12I^5 - 30I^4 + 64I^3 - 66I^2 + 36I - 8] \]
\[ + X_4[16I^7 - 56I^6 + 184I^5 - 320I^4 + 360I^3 - 248I^2 + 96I - 16]. \quad (5) \]

While the gamma-ray transition energy in a band using SDRB with five unknown parameters can be deduced by substituting from Eqs.(2, 4) into Eq.(3) where \( k = 5 \) as
\[ E_\gamma(I) = X_1[4I - 2] + X_2[8I^2 - 12I^2 + 12I - 4] \]
\[ + X_3[12I^5 - 30I^4 + 64I^3 - 66I^2 + 36I - 8] \]
\[ + X_4[16I^7 - 56I^6 + 184I^5 - 320I^4 + 360I^3 - 248I^2 + 96I - 16] \]
\[ + X_5[20I^9 - 90I^8 + 400I^7 - 980I^6 + 1684I^5 - 1970I^4 + 1560I^3 - 800I^2 + 240I - 32] \]
\[ (6) \]

The rotational frequency \( \hbar \omega \) can be deduced from the first order derivative of the \( \gamma \)-ray transition energy with respect to the spin angular momentum
\[ \hbar \omega(I) = \frac{dE_\gamma(I)}{dI}. \quad (7) \]

Also, the kinematic \( J^{(1)} \) and dynamic \( J^{(2)} \) moments of inertia can be calculated from the following expressions,
\[ \frac{J^{(1)}}{\hbar^2} = \left[ \frac{dE_\gamma(I)}{I \, dI} \right]^{-1}, \quad (8) \]
and
\[ \frac{J^{(2)}}{\hbar^2} = \left[ \frac{d^2E_\gamma(I)}{dI^2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (9) \]

Experimentally, the rotational frequency \( \hbar \omega \) for SD bands is given as [4]
\[ \hbar \omega(I) = \frac{E_\gamma(I) + E_\gamma(I-2)}{4}, \quad (10) \]
while the kinematic \( J^{(1)} \) and dynamic \( J^{(2)} \) moments of inertia are given as a function of \( \gamma \)-ray transition energies [4],
\[ J^{(1)} = \frac{2I-1}{E_\gamma(I)}, \quad (11) \]
\[ J^{(2)} = \frac{4}{E_\gamma(I)-E_\gamma(I-2)}. \quad (12) \]
The changes in \( J^{(2)} \) with \( \hbar \omega \) have been shown to be an important signature of the active intruder orbitals which mean that \( J^{(2)} \) depend on the protons and neutrons occupation of high-N intruder orbitals [46].

### 2.2. The staggering \( \Delta I = 2 \) phenomenon

An amazing phenomenon of staggering \( \Delta I = 2 \) can be seen in SD bands, the staggering was determined by various order derivatives of \( \gamma \)-ray transition energies \( E_\gamma \) (between two sequence levels differing by two units of spin angular momentum \( \Delta I = 2 \)) with respect to spin angular momentum \( I \) as

\[
\Delta^{(0)} E_\gamma(I) = E_\gamma(I),
\]

\[
\Delta^{(1)} E_\gamma(I) = \frac{1}{2} [E_\gamma(I) - E_\gamma(I - 2)],
\]

\[
\Delta^{(2)} E_\gamma(I) = \frac{1}{4} [E_\gamma(I + 2) - 2E_\gamma(I) + E_\gamma(I - 2)],
\]

\( \Delta^{(1)} E_\gamma(I) \) and \( \Delta^{(2)} E_\gamma(I) \) are calculated from the first and second derivative of the gamma-ray transition energies with respect to the spin angular momentum \( I \) [55].

The dynamic moment of inertia is related to \( \Delta^{(1)} E_\gamma(I) \) by,

\[
J^{(2)} = \frac{2}{\Delta^{(1)} E_\gamma(I+2)}.
\]

We calculate the staggering parameters \( S^{(n)} \), to see well the variations in the transition energies [55]

\[
S^{(n)}(I) = 2^n \times [\Delta^{(n)} E_\gamma^{exp.}(I) - \Delta^{(n)} E_\gamma^{cal.}(I)].
\]

### 3. Results and Discussion

The isodiaphere - the nuclei in which the difference between the number of neutrons \( N_n \) and the number of protons \( N_p \) is the same - (\(^{40}\)Ca, \(^{58}\)Cu, \(^{60}\)Zn), we choose the nuclei in which \( |N_n - N_p| = 0 \) and also have only one superdeformed band.

The four and five unknown parameters of isodiaphere nuclei have been estimated by fitting the experimental gamma-ray transition energies [4] using Eqs. (5,6). The best fitting parameters...
are obtained using MATLAB software. The quality of the fitting is determined by the root mean square derivation $\chi$ given by the following

$$\chi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I_{i}) - E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I_{i})}{\Delta E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I_{i})} \right]^{2}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

where $N$ is the number of the data entering into the fitting procedure and $\Delta E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I_{i})$ is the experimental error of the gamma-ray transition energies. We used the calculated $\gamma$-ray energies using the best fitting parameters to calculate the rotational frequency $\hbar \omega$, the kinematic $J^{(1)}$, and the dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia.

**Table 1.** The obtained fitting parameters $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3},$ and $X_{4}$ from the four parameters formula of Bohr-Mottelson collective model, experimental $E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ and calculated $E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ lowest $\gamma$-ray transition energies, and finally, the bandhead moment of inertia for studied isodiaphere nuclei.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SD</th>
<th>$X_{1}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_{2}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_{3} \times 10^{-4}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_{4} \times 10^{-7}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$J_{0} \times 10^{-3}$ ($\hbar^{2}$ MeV$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$Ca</td>
<td>67.3456</td>
<td>-0.03151</td>
<td>1.143</td>
<td>-2.62115</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>402.964</td>
<td>0.007424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{58}$Cu</td>
<td>6.34799</td>
<td>0.0783897</td>
<td>-1.11303</td>
<td>67.7479</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>838.791</td>
<td>0.078765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{60}$Zn</td>
<td>34.7429</td>
<td>-0.023529</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>-0.0843</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>1157.941</td>
<td>0.014391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 1,2 list the obtained fitting parameters $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$ (using MATLAB software), the experimental $E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ and calculated $E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ lowest $\gamma$-ray transition energies for each SD band using Bohr-Mottelson collective rotational model [54] with four and five unknown parameters, respectively. We found that our results are in good agreement with the experimental
measurements using both Bohr-Mottelson collective rotational model [54] with four and five unknown parameters. Additionally, the bandhead moment of inertia is calculated in Tabs. (1,2) using both models.

Table 2. The obtained fitting parameters $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4,$ and $X_5$ from the five parameters formula of Bohr-Mottelson collective model, experimental [4] $E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ and calculated $E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ lowest $\gamma$-ray transition energies, and finally, the bandhead moment of inertia for studied isodiaphere nuclei.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SD</th>
<th>$X_1$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_2$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_3 \times 10^{-4}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_4 \times 10^{-7}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$X_5 \times 10^{-10}$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ (KeV)</th>
<th>$J_0 \times 10^{-3} (\hbar^2 \text{MeV}^{-1})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}\text{Ca}$</td>
<td>69.9676</td>
<td>-0.17225</td>
<td>19.475</td>
<td>-90.1</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>414.014</td>
<td>0.007146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{58}\text{Cu}$</td>
<td>0.95412</td>
<td>0.12338</td>
<td>-2.79</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>830.216</td>
<td>0.52404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{60}\text{Zn}$</td>
<td>30.1805</td>
<td>0.004874</td>
<td>-0.458</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>1144.684</td>
<td>0.016567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 presents the experimental [4] $E_{\gamma}^{exp}(I)$ and calculated $E_{\gamma}^{cal}(I)$ lowest $\gamma$-ray transition energies as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ for isodiaphere nuclei ($^{40}\text{Ca}, ^{58}\text{Cu}, ^{60}\text{Zn}$). The experimental data are represented by solid circles and the calculated data using SDRB with four and five unknown parameters are represented by solid red and blue lines, respectively. We notice a good agreement between measured and calculated gamma-ray transition energies especially calculations using SDRB with five unknown parameters. Also, the calculated gamma-ray transition energies of $^{58}\text{Cu}$ using SDRB with five parameters agree with measured ones more than the results using the nuclear softness formula [27].
Fig. 1. [Color online] The experimental $E_{\gamma}^{\text{exp}}(I)$ [4] and calculated $E_{\gamma}^{\text{cal}}(I)$ lowest $\gamma$-ray transition energies as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ for isodiaphere nuclei ($^{40}\text{Ca}, ^{58}\text{Cu}, ^{60}\text{Zn}$).

Figure 2 presents the kinematic $J^{(1)}$ and the dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia as a function of the rotational frequency $\hbar\omega$ for SD bands, (a) $^{40}\text{Ca}$, (b) $^{58}\text{Cu}$ and (c) $^{60}\text{Zn}$. The experimental data [4] are represented by symbols (square for $J^{(1)}$ and circle for $J^{(2)}$). The dashed and solid curves are the calculated kinematic $J^{(1)}$ moments of inertia using SDRB with four and five unknown parameters, respectively. Also, the dotted and solid curves are the calculated dynamic
$J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia using SDRB with four and five unknown parameters, respectively. In all panels, we found that the results of $J^{(1)}$ using both SDRB formula agree very well with the experimental measurements.
Fig. 2. [Color online] The kinematic $J^{(1)}$ and dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia as a function of the rotational frequency $\hbar\omega$ for isodiaphere nuclei ($^{40}\text{Ca}$, $^{58}\text{Cu}$, $^{60}\text{Zn}$). The experimental measurements [4] are represented as symbols. The calculated results for $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$ are given as solid and dashed curves, respectively.

Figure (2a) shows $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$ values for SD band in $^{40}\text{Ca}$ as a function of $\hbar\omega$. It is noted that the calculated $J^{(1)}$ using both formulas agree well with the experimental measurements. For $J^{(2)}$ results, we found that calculations of $J^{(2)}$ using SDRB with five unknown parameters agree well with the experimental measurements more than calculations using SDRB with four unknown parameters, especially at $\hbar\omega > 1.2$. This means that Bohr-Mottelson collective model with five unknown parameters able to interpret the appeared pairing correlations - which result from the arrangements of $f_{7/2}$ protons and neutrons - in the experimental measurements.

Figure (2b) depicts the good agreement between our calculations for $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$ with the experimental data for SD band in $^{58}\text{Cu}$. $J^{(2)}$ values are found to be less than $J^{(1)}$ for all values of $\hbar\omega$ for SD band in $^{58}\text{Cu}$. Both plots of $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$ are concave downward. The variations of $J^{(2)}$ values with the increase in $\hbar\omega$ are characteristic of different high-N inturder orbitals which is due to the individual trend of occupied inturder orbitals.
Figure (2c) represents shows $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$ values for SD band in $^{60}Zn$ as a function of $\hbar \omega$. Our calculations for $J^{(1)}$ agree very well with the experimental data for SD band in $^{60}Zn$. For $J^{(2)}$ results, we found that calculations of $J^{(2)}$ using SDRB with five unknown parameters agree well with the experimental measurements more than calculations using SDRB with four unknown parameters especially at low $\hbar \omega$. This means that Bohr-Mottelson collective model with five unknown parameters success in interpreting the pairing correlations in the low-spin SD states. Especially, the strong upbend in $J^{(2)}$ values (both experimentally and calculated ones) at low $\hbar \omega$ - range from 0.8-1.0 MeV - which interpreted as a manifestation of the arrangements of the $g_{9/2}$ protons and neutrons. After $\hbar \omega > 1$ MeV, $J^{(2)}$ values decrease with the increase in $\hbar \omega$. Furthermore, $J^{(2)}$ values are less than $J^{(1)}$ due to the decrease in the collectivity in this band.

For the $A \sim 40-60$ mass region, the observed SD nuclei have a larger deformation, $\varepsilon_2 \approx 0.353 - 0.486$ and rotate most rapidly. $\hbar \omega$ is from 0.4 MeV to 1.9 MeV, and the pairing correlations have a great significant in most isodiaphere nuclei especially ($^{40}Ca, ^{60}Zn$). The decreasing, oscillating, and strong bending behaviour of $J^{(2)}$ may contribute to the alignments of the protons and neutrons (or individually in $^{58}Cu$) and the appearance of pairing correlations in all ($^{40}Ca, ^{60}Zn$).

![Diagram](a) $S^{(0)}$
Fig. 3. The $\Delta I = 2$ staggering parameter $S^{(n)}(I)$ ($n = 0:2$) calculated by the n-point formula (Eqs. (13-15)) as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ for $^{40}\text{Ca}$.
Fig. 4. The $\Delta I = 2$ staggering parameter $S^{(n)}(I)$ ($n = 0 : 2$) calculated by the n-point formula (Eqs. (13-15)) as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ for $^{58}\text{Cu}$.

Figures (3 - 5) show the staggering parameter $S^{(n)}(I)$ for SD bands, which is the difference between experimental and calculated ones as a function of nuclear spin angular momentum $I$. The staggering parameters calculations using SDRB with four and five unknown parameters are represented by dashed and solid lines, respectively. We notice variations in the transition energies by using the staggering parameter especially using SDRB with five unknown parameters. Changes in staggering phase result from the existence of a critical point in which the shape transition occurs. In previous, the staggering phenomena were studied using the higher-order derivatives of the gamma-ray transition energies for high mass region, here we apply the same analysis at low mass region $A \sim 40 - 60$. 
(a) $S^{(0)}$

(b) $S^{(1)}$
Fig. 5. The $\Delta I = 2$ staggering parameter $S^{(n)}(I) (n = 0:2)$ calculated by the n-point formula (Eqs. (13-15)) as a function of the spin angular momentum $I$ for $^{60}Zn$.

4. Conclusion

The superdeformed bands of the studied isodiaphere nuclei can describe with the framework of four and five parameters’ formulas of Bohr-Mottelson collective model. Both formulas give a direct relation between the energy and the spin angular momentum. Firstly, the experimental measurements of the gamma-ray transition energy for $^{40}Ca$, $^{58}Cu$, and $^{60}Zn$ nuclei are fitted using the extended formulas by MATLAB software. Then, the obtained fitting parameters are used to calculate the gamma-ray transition energy for the examined nuclei in mass region $A \sim 40 - 60$.

The results for all studied nuclei agree well with the experimental data, especially calculations using SDRB with five unknown parameters formula. Comparing with the previous calculations for $^{58}Cu$ from Ref. [27], our results are more accurate than the later. Using the fitting parameters and the theoretical gamma-ray transition energy, the rotational frequency $\hbar \omega$, the kinematic $J^{(1)}$ and dynamic $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia were calculated. Our results are in a good agreement with the experimental ones. The staggering phenomenon was examined for the studied nuclei using four and five parameters’ formulas of Bohr-Mottelson collective model. We
find $\Delta I = 2$ staggering in all studied isodiaphere nuclei. Large significant staggering appears for these nuclei when calculating and plotting the staggering parameters $S^{(n)}(I)$ versus the spin angular momentum. Finally, we conclude that Bohr-Mottelson collective model with five unknown parameters success to interpret the appeared pairing correlations in the experimental measurements.
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الملخص العربي
التعرج فى النطاقات فائقة التشوه لانوية الغلاف المتساوية ذات العدد الكتلي يتراوح من 40 ل 60

إيمان رضا أبو اليزيد، هاجر هشام سعودى، دعاء على معتمد الريان، هيام سنيد
قسم الفيزياء، كلية البنات للآداب والعلوم والتربية، جامعة عين شمس، 11577 القاهرة، مصر

الملخص العربي
قد تم تحليل خصائص النطاقات فائقة التشوه (SD) لأنوية الغلاف المتساوية (isodiaphere) بشكل $(^{40}\text{Ca},^{58}\text{Cu},^{60}\text{Zn})$ بشكل منهجي في إطار صيغ رباعية وخماسية المعاملات لنموذج بوهر-موتلون الجماعي. تم الحصول على هذه المعاملات غير معروفة من خلال التوافق بين القيم النظرية والعملية لطاقات الجاما الانتقالية باستخدام برنامج MATLAB. وقد استخدمت أفضل المعاملات المجهزة والمنتقاة لحساب طاقات الجاما الانتقالية $E_\gamma$ والترددات الدورانية $\omega$, عزم القصور الذاتي الحركي $I(1)$ وعزم القصور الذاتي الديناميكي $J(2)$ عن طريق التحليل اشعحة جاما التي تم الحصول عليها من مصادر تعرج. وقد تم استخدام الصيغتين لتوفيق بين البيانات التجريبية. وقد تم تمثيل ظاهرة سلوك التعرج $\Delta I = 2$ بالنسبة للزخم الزاوي الدوراني $I$. نستنتج أن صيغة المعاملات الخمسة لنموذج بوهر-موتلون الجماعي تنجح في تفسير ارتباطات الاقتران الظاهرة في القياسات التجريبية لانوية في الدراسة.